Applicant: Lin Zhi et al.

Attorney's Docket No.: 3800024.00350 /1111US
Serial No.: 10/566,569

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

Filed : August 21, 2006

1) Office Action, issued May 25, 2009, in connection with Australian Patent Application No. 2004266160 (Attorney Docket No. 3800024.00340/1111AU).

- 2) Office Action (English translation), issued July 31, 2009, in connection with Chinese Patent Application No. 200480024125.2 (Attorney Docket No. 3800024.00343/1111CN).
- 3) Office Action (English translation), issued October 6, 2009, in connection with Japanese Patent Application No. 2006-524121 (Attorney Docket No. 3800014.00347/1111JP).

The table below lists Documents 1-4 and includes a column that provides a space for the Examiner's initials next to the document to be considered. This Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement includes the application number of the application in which the sIDS is being submitted, a column that provides a blank space next to each citation for the Examiner's initials, a heading on the listing that clearly indicates the list is an Information Disclosure Statement, and copies of Documents 1-4. Therefore, this sIDS is in compliance with 37 CFR §§ 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 and Applicant respectfully requests that Examiner consider and initial all information listed in the table below.

Examiner Initial	Document No.	Document
dms	1	Office Action, issued May 25, 2009, in connection with Australian Patent Application No. 2004266160.
dms	2	Office Action (English translation), issued July 31, 2009, in connection with Chinese Patent Application No. 200480024125.2.
dms	3	Office Action (English translation), issued October 6, 2009, in connection with Japanese Patent Application No. 2006-524121.
dms	4	Higuchi et al., "Potent, nonsteroidal selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs) based on 8H-[1,4]oxazino[2,3-f]quinolin-8-ones," Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 17:5442-5446 (2007).

Although these documents are made known to the Patent and Trademark Office in compliance with Applicant's duty of disclosure, such disclosure is not to be construed as an admission by Applicant or Applicant's representative that any of the information, singly or in any combination thereof, is effective as prior art against the subject application. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement shall not be construed to mean that a search has been made or that no other material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(b) exists.